Case 1:08-cv-00851-AKH Do	ocument 1	Filed 01/07/2008	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	₁	21 MC 100 (A WW)	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
LOUIS RODRIGUEZ AND GERTRUDE RODRIGUE	UEZ	DOCKET NO.	
Plaintiffs,		CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT	
- against - A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,		0011	
Defenda	ants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.			
NOTICE OF ADOPTION			
All headings and paragraphs in the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth here Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These at and specific case information is set forth, as	in in addition re marked wit	n to those paragraphs s th an '☑" if applicable	specific to the individual
Plaintiffs, LOUIS RODRIGUEZ AN WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOL allege:		_	<u>-</u>

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

and a	1. citizen	✓ Plaintiff, LOUIS RO		e "Injured Plaintiff"), is an indi	vidua
			(OR)		
	2.	Alternatively, □	is the	of Decedent	
		, and brings this clair	n in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of	•
		Pleas	e read this document carefu	lly.	

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Ca	se 1:08-cv-00851-AKH Document	t 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 2 of 11
3. New Jersey ro Injured Plaint	esiding at 30 Melody Drive, Colonia, Notiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times I LOUIS RODRIGUEZ, and brinjuries sustained by her husba	reinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of U 07067-, and has the following relationship to the nerein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the nd (his wife), Plaintiff LOUIS RODRIGUEZ. Other:
4. steamfitters at	•	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Enterprise as a
j	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations
The World	Trade Center Site	☐ The Barge
	<i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)	· ·
From on or about 9/26/2001 until 10/31/2001; Approximately 7 hours per day; for Approximately 26 days total.		From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
☐ The New	York City Medical Examiner's Office	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured
From on or al Approximate Approximate	bout until, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
	Kills Landfill	From on or about until;
From on or al Approximate Approximate	bout until; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
	*	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injure	ed Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
\Box The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☑ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 8/31/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☑ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC. ☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DAROTA DEMO-TECH ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☑ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND FORT EACAVATING CORF ☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DAVERSH IED CARCING, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□Evans Environmental

 ${\it Please \ read \ this \ document \ carefully}.$

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:08-cv-00851-AKH Document 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil		Jurisdi ut the	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has		
remov	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	U.S.C	2. 9 1441.		
	III CAUSES OF ACTION				
Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:					
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation		
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided 		
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined		
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death		
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff		

Other: _

Case 1:08-cv-00851-AKH Document 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A.			Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.	
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:	
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury	
	WTC work:			to WTC work:	
$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Respiratory Injury: Asthma; Cough;		√	Fear of Cancer	
	Respiratory Problems; Sinus and/or Nasal			Date of onset: 4/13/2007	
	Problems; and Sinus Problems			Date physician first connected this injury	
	Date of onset: 11/1/2006			to WTC work: To be supplied at a later	
	Date physician first connected this injury to			date	
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date				
	Digestive Injury: N/A.		V	Other Injury: N/A.	
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:	
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury	
	WTC work:			to WTC work:	
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhai	ıst	ive list	of injuries that may be alleged.	
	, ,				
	2. As a direct and proximate result of	f th	ne injur	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the	
Groun	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	w	ill in tł	ne future suffer the following compensable	
dama	damages:				
====	- 	==	=====		
\checkmark	Pain and suffering				
\checkmark	Loss of the enjoyment of life				
\checkmark	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of				
	earning capacity				
\checkmark	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of				
	retirement benefits				
\checkmark					
-	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and				

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

 \checkmark

Other:

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York January 4, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Louis Rodriguez and Gertrude

Rodriguez

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
January 4, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK LOUIS RODRIGUEZ (AND WIFE, GERTRUDE RODRIGUEZ), Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: \square NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at 20 at Μ. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP